Department of Economics and Finance

CYCLES AND LONG -RANGE BEHAVIOUR

IN THE EUROPEAN STOCK MARKETS

Guglielmo Maria Caporale, Brunel University London, United Kingdom

Luis A. Gil-Alana, University of Navarra, Pamplona, Spain, and Universidad Francisco de Vitoria, Madrid, Spain

Carlos Poza, Universidad Francisco de Vitoria, Madrid, Spain

November 2019

Abstract

This paper uses a modelling framework which includes two singularities (or poles) in the spectral density function, one corresponding to the **non**g(zero) frequency and the other to the yclical (nonzero) frequency The adopted specification is very general, since it allows for fractional integration in the stochastic patterns at the zero and cyclical frequencies and ncludes both long and short memory components. The cyclical patterns are modelled using Gegenbauer proce **States**. model is estimated sing monthly datafor five European stock market indic **(BAX30, FTSE100, CAC4,0 FTSE MIB40 IBEX35)** from January 2009 to Janya 2019. The results indicate that the series areighly persistent the longrun frequency but they are not upportive of the existence of cyclical stochastic structures in the European financial markets. The only clear evidence of a stochastic cycle is obtained in the case of France under the assumption of white noise disturbances; in all other cases, there is no evidence of cycles.

Keywords: European stock markets

marketsobtained by following this approaction remainder of the paper is structured as follows. Section 2 eviews the relevant literatures ection 3 outlines the modelling business cycles: mos

extend credit to the real sector. Claesseetnesl. (2011) provide a wieleanging analysis of financial cycles using a large database covering 21 advanced countries over the period 1960:12007:4. They study cycles in credit, house prices and equity prices. The main results are the following: 1) financial cycles tend to be long and severe, especially those in housing and equity markers; financial cycles are highly synchronized thin countries, especially with credit and house price cycles3afidancial cycles magnify each other especially when the downturns intendit and housing marketspincide. DePenya and GiAlana (2006) propose a method for testing nonstationary cycles in financial time series data. They develop a procedure that enables the researcher to test unit root cycles in raw time series. Thetest has several distinguishing feater compared with alternative ones. In particular, it has a standard null limit distribution and is the most efficient test against the fractional alternatives. In addition, it allows the researcher to test unit root cyclesseach of the frequencies, and, thosapproximate the number of periods per cycFeinally, as already mentioned, Caporale and ABaina (2014) propose a general framework including linear and segmented time trends, and stationary and nonstationary processes based on integer and/or fractional degrees of differentiation; moreover, the spectrum is allowed to contain more than a single pole or singularity, occurring at both zero but not not cyclical) frequencies. They find that US dividends, earnings, interest rates and -themion government bond yields exhibit fractional integration with one or two poles in the spectrum; further, a model with a segmented trend and fractional integration outperforms rival specifications over long horizons in terms of its forecasting propertiessimilar approach is taken in the present study (see the next section for details).

5

3. The Model

The adopted model is the following:

1 2cos) , 1, 2, ... ,

where we can define $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{d}_2}(\mathbf{P}$ recursively as follows:

$$C_{0,d_2}(P_1) = 1, \quad C_{1,d_2}() = 2P_{d_2},$$

function and can be used to obtain some problem and a spectrum of the series.

INSERT TABLE 1 ABOUT HERE

Table 1 displays the first five values of the periodogram of reach series. It can be seen that for the stock markets of France, Germany and Utilies, highest value corresponds to the smallessequency, following by frequency 3; however, for Frenc and Spain, it occurs at frequency 2, followed togquency 1 and frequency 3 respectively

In order to avoid determinitis terms, we use the demeaned series stichate the model given by quation (1,) testing the null hypothesis:

$$H_0: d \quad d_0, \tag{2}$$

where $d = (q, d_2)^T$, with both values ranging from 2.00 to 2.00 with 0.01 increments. Thus, the estimated model under the null is:

 $(1 L)^{d_{10}}(1 2 \cos w_r L L^2)^{d_{20}} x_t u_t, t 1, 2, ...,$ (3)

where u

For the sake ofgenerality, we do not restrict the first polynomial to be constrained at the zero frequency, and therefore consider initially a model with the description of the Gegenbauer polynomial of the form

$$-\frac{2}{j} (1 2 \cos w_r^{(j)} L L^2)^{d_o^{(j)}} x_t u_t, \quad t = 1, 2, ..., \quad (5)$$

where $d_0^{(1)}$ becomes $d_0/2$ if $w_r^{(1)} = 0$ (or $j_1 = 1$). The estimated value of j is equal to 1 in all cases, which support existence of a pole or singularity in the spectrum at the zero frequency. Thus, in what follows we focus exclusively on the model (g) en estimating simultaneously d_{10} (the order of integration at the long or zero frequency, d_0 (the order of integration at the cyclical freque) $a_0/2$ (the frequency in the spectrum that goes to infinity and that is related to the number of periods per cycle in the cyclical structure, i. $a_2 = j_2/T$).

Table 2 focuses on the case of white noise errors. It can be seen that that the frequency j_2 is equal to 2 for Frace, Italy and Spain, and to 3 for the UK and Germany. This implies that the number of periods per cycle is approximately 60 (5 years) for the stock markets in the former W K U H H F R X Q W U L H V **nboQtQs** (3.3 years) for the latter twoConcerning the estimates of the differencing parameters l_1 is smaller than 1 in the case of France, though the unit root null hypotheais not be rejected, while for the other countries the I(1) hypothesis is rejected in favor of values of d above 1. As of the estimates of l_2 , the highest is for France (0.33) and only for this country and Germany Q.08) the values are significantly positive. In the othere e cases, they are positive but very se to zero and the I(0) null cannot be rejected.

§

INSERT TABLES 2 AND 3 ABOUT HERE

Table 3 displays the results for the case of weak autocorrelating the model of Bloomfield (1973) The values of₂ are now 2 for Italy and Spain and 3 for the other

9

three countries d_1 is substantially smaller than in the previous table, its estimate ranging between 0.58 (UK) and 0.71 (Spain), and evidence of mean reversion with respect to this frequency is only obtained in the UK case all other cases, the intervals indicate that the unit root null cannot be rejected. Finally, the estimates are all positive but the null d = 0 cannot be jected in any country.

On the whole, our esults indicate high persistence at the long frequency but they are not very supportive of the existence of cyclical stochastic structures in Europearfinancial markets. The only clear evidence of a stochastic cyslebtained in the case of France under the assumption of white **disister** bances; in all other cases, although d is found to be positive, the confidence intervals are such that the 2n ± 10 d cannot be rejected and therefore there is no evidence of cycles.

5. Conclusions

In this paperwe have examined the possible presence of strastic cycles in financial series For this purpose, we have properds a model that allows imultaneously for both long-run and cyclical patterns in the data using a method based on-ntoengory processes. For the zero frequencity e standard I(d) approates followed, whilst for the cyclical structure a Gegenbauer polynomial is used which also safetow fractional degrees of differentiation. Therefore, the hosen specification on the singularities in the spetrum corresponding to the long (zero) and the cyclical (non-zero) frequencies espectively

Using monthly data for five European stock market indi(meanely, DAX30 (Germany), FTSE100 (UK), ACC40 (France), FTSE MIB40 (Italy) and IBEX35 (Spain)) over the period from January 2009 to January 2019 we find that the order of integration at the longun or zero frequency is significantly higher than the one at the

10

cyclical frequency, the latter beiningsignificantly different from zero in the majority of cases. The cycles seem have a periodicity between 3 and 5 years.

However, these resultshould be taken with a degree **ca** ution given the relatively short sample period. Specifically, with 1220 tonthly observations as in our case the smallest possible frequency approxim $j_1 = 1$ (that corresponds to the long frequency) is 2, which implies cycles of T/ 2 at most, i.e. 60 months or 5 years. Analysing much longer series possibly spanning decades, would be much more informative about the possible existence of stochastic cycles. This is left for further research.

References

Abbritti, M., L.A. Gil-Alana, Y. Lovchaand A. Moreno, (2016), Term structure persistence, Journal of Financial Econometrics 14, 2,3521-

Andel, J., 1986. Long Memory Time Series Models. Kyberne 202 August 2018

Baillie, R.T. (1996), Long memory processes and fractional integration in econometrics, Journal of Econometrics 73,539.

Bloomfield, P. (1973). An exponential model in the spectrum of a scalar time series, Biometrika 60, 217226.

Borio, C. (2014), Thefinancial cycle and macroeconomics. What have we learnt? Journal of Bankig and Finance 45, 18298.

Borio, C., M. Drehmann and D. Xia (2019), The financial cycle and recession risk, BIS Quarterly Review, December 2018.

Canarella, G., L.A. GiAlana, R.Gupta and S. Miller (2019)/Iodeling U.S. Historical Time-Series Prices and Inflatior/Using Alternative Long/Memory Approaches Empirical Economics, forthcoming.

Caporale, G.M. and L.A. GiAlana, 2002, Fractional integration and mean reversion in stock prices, Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance 426699-

Caporale, G.M. and L.A. GiAlana (2014), "Long run and cyclical dynamics in the US stock market", Journal of Forecasting, 33, 114671-.

Chung, C.-

lacoviello, A. (2015), Financial business cycles, view of Economic Dynamics 18, 1, 140-163.

Country	1	2	3	4	5
FRANCE	0.17205	0.00407	0.04472	0.02301	0.00021
GERMANY	0.55260	0.06697	0.10928	0.04837	0.00627

Table 1: First five values in the periodogram of the series