

Department of  
Economics and Finance

---

Working Paper No. 15-05

Economics and Finance Working Paper Series

María de la O González, Francisco Jareño  
and Frank S. Skinner

---

<http://www.brunel.ac.uk/economics>

Can I also provide information on the  
Author's E-mail to respond to my  
comment on the article?

Thank you,  
Francisco Jareño

---

*e-mail: [Francisco.Jareno@uclm.es](mailto:Francisco.Jareno@uclm.es)*

Francisco Jareño, Corresponding Author

---

**Abstract**

This paper discusses the relationship between stock returns and unexpected changes in  
nominal interest rates. In particular, we use the VAR model to estimate the  
impulse response functions for the VAR model. The results show that a  
one percentage point increase in the short-term interest rate leads to a  
decrease in the returns on stocks and bonds. The effect is larger for stocks than for  
bonds. The results are robust to alternative specifications of the VAR model.

ntro t on n t r t r r

This paper examines the relationship between the observed and expected returns on the market portfolio of stocks. The expected return is calculated as the risk-free rate plus a risk premium. The risk premium is estimated using the market portfolio's returns and the returns on a set of risk-free assets. The results show that the observed returns on the market portfolio are significantly higher than the expected returns, suggesting that the market portfolio is overvalued. This finding is consistent with the hypothesis that the market portfolio is a sub-optimal portfolio. The paper also discusses the implications of these findings for asset pricing and portfolio management.

This paper shows that the response of stock returns to unexpected changes in the non-linear interest rate components, unexpected changes in the real return rate, and the response of stock returns to unexpected changes in the real interest rate. To accomplish this, we use the findings of the 1974 factor model proposed by Jensen and Bartlett (1974), Jensen (1978), and Jensen and Bartlett (1978). The paper proceeds to discuss the findings of the first, second, and third factors in the real return rate, stock returns, and unexpected changes in the real interest rate. The first factor is the real return rate, the second factor is the real return rate, and the third factor is the real return rate. The paper also discusses the findings of the first, second, and third factors in the real return rate, stock returns, and unexpected changes in the real interest rate. The paper also discusses the findings of the first, second, and third factors in the real return rate, stock returns, and unexpected changes in the real interest rate.

As mentioned previously, according to the first factor, the response of stock returns to unexpected changes in the non-linear real interest rate is usually negative. The second factor is the real return rate, and the third factor is the real return rate. The paper also discusses the findings of the first, second, and third factors in the real return rate, stock returns, and unexpected changes in the real interest rate.





t you put our s pl p r o so t h s l s r c n t l s s u r 10 r n o t t t t h  
 F c n u s t o c c u r t a l i s t t 10 r t r s u r i d s T h r o r e u s c i n s n  
 t h 10 r J S T r s u r b o n i d s s r p o r t b t h F i r l i s s r v B n q N  
 Y o r n T b l H 1 5 s o u r p p r o t o n r o u n p c t c i n s n t h n o n l  
 n t r s t r t <sup>2</sup>

## 2.2 E p c t n l t o n r t s

A l t h u p p r v o u s s t u s i v p p l i v r i t q i t h o l o s t o i s t t  
 p c t n l t o n r t s l o t q r d t n c r u c l p p r s h r c n o f . 1 9 8 8 .  
 s c p r t . 1 9 8 1 . F r s r e t a l . 2 0 0 2 . i s t a l n G u r u l . 2 0 0 3 . n J r n o . 2 0 0 8 . u s  
 s p l t i s s r s A I A o i s t o i s t t t h p c t n l t o n c o p o n a n t T h s  
 s t u s s u i t t t c u r r e n t t o t l n l t o n r t i c n b i b r o i n o n t o t h s u  
 q t s p c t i e n u n p c t i c o p o n a n t s T h s t h p c t c o p o n a n t s  
 i s t t u s n A I A o i s t h b s s u n t t t s c o p o n a n t i p r s u p o n t s  
 o n p s t s r s T i n t h r o r c s t r r o r s r o t h A I A o i r o u r i s t t q  
 u n n t c p t c i n s n n l t o n i s o u s A I



$$E_t(\pi_{t,t+1}) = \rho\pi_{t-1,t}$$

In order for such predictions to be correct, the inflation process must be a martingale difference process. In fact, the inflation process is a random walk with drift. The drift is the long-run inflation rate, which is zero. The variance of the inflation process is the variance of the cost-push inflation process. The inflation process is a random walk with drift, which is zero. The variance of the inflation process is the variance of the cost-push inflation process.

### 2.3 The Phillips Curve and the Real Interest Rate

As mentioned above, the Phillips curve is derived from the IS-PC model. To obtain the Phillips curve, we start with the IS equation and the Phillips curve. The IS equation is  $r_t = i_t - E_t(\pi_{t,t+1})$  and the Phillips curve is  $\pi_t = \pi_{t-1} + \alpha(\pi_{t-1} - \pi_{t-2}) + \beta(\pi_{t-1} - \pi_{t-2}) + \epsilon_t$ . The Phillips curve is derived from the IS equation and the Phillips curve. The IS equation is  $r_t = i_t - E_t(\pi_{t,t+1})$  and the Phillips curve is  $\pi_t = \pi_{t-1} + \alpha(\pi_{t-1} - \pi_{t-2}) + \beta(\pi_{t-1} - \pi_{t-2}) + \epsilon_t$ .

$$r_t \approx i_t - E_t(\pi_{t,t+1})$$

The Phillips curve is derived from the IS equation and the Phillips curve. The IS equation is  $r_t = i_t - E_t(\pi_{t,t+1})$  and the Phillips curve is  $\pi_t = \pi_{t-1} + \alpha(\pi_{t-1} - \pi_{t-2}) + \beta(\pi_{t-1} - \pi_{t-2}) + \epsilon_t$ .

### 2.4 The Taylor Rule

The Taylor rule focuses on the Taylor rule, which is a linear approximation of the IS-PC model. The Taylor rule is  $r_t = \bar{r} + \alpha(\pi_t - \pi_{t-1}) + \beta(r_{t-1} - \bar{r}) + \epsilon_t$ . The Taylor rule is derived from the IS equation and the Phillips curve. The IS equation is  $r_t = i_t - E_t(\pi_{t,t+1})$  and the Phillips curve is  $\pi_t = \pi_{t-1} + \alpha(\pi_{t-1} - \pi_{t-2}) + \beta(\pi_{t-1} - \pi_{t-2}) + \epsilon_t$ . The Taylor rule is derived from the IS equation and the Phillips curve. The IS equation is  $r_t = i_t - E_t(\pi_{t,t+1})$  and the Phillips curve is  $\pi_t = \pi_{t-1} + \alpha(\pi_{t-1} - \pi_{t-2}) + \beta(\pi_{t-1} - \pi_{t-2}) + \epsilon_t$ .

study is conducted in the sector of the financial or the utility sector. The proposed model is a stochastic sector, sub-sector or industry level analysis on quarterly data. (Stonhamper, 1974)

The principal study of interest rate sensitivity of stock returns is that of the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) which is a univariate model in which interest rates (Stonhamper, 1974) to better explain the stochastic process of the interest rate returns. The author, in his 2002 study of quarterly stock returns by sector, sub-sector or industry level.

$$r_{jt} = \alpha_j + \beta_j r_{mt} + \gamma_j \Delta i_t^u + \varepsilon_{jt} \quad (1)$$

where  $r_{jt}$  is the stock sector, sub-sector or industry return on time  $t$ ,  $j$  is the stock sector, sub-sector or industry,  $r_{mt}$  is the return on the market portfolio,  $i_t^u$  represents univariate model in which interest rates in the model.

unpublished. The authors are grateful to the anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments. The authors are also grateful to the following individuals for their helpful comments: Dr. J. J. ...

To our knowledge, this is the first study to report on the relationship between ... and ... in ... The results of this study are consistent with the findings of ... (1984) and ... (1998). The authors are grateful to the following individuals for their helpful comments: Dr. J. J. ...

Thus, our results seem to be robust, since the sort of information processing involved in the test of correlation between variables

The main correlations between the variables included in our overall report are the following: Not only the correlation between unemployment and the first interest rate in unemployment is not significant, but the correlation between unemployment and the first interest rate is also

## NEET TABLE 1 ABOUT THE E

### 2.5. The role of the Econo

Vironas 1999, *et al* 2008 in *Journal of Economic Surveys* 2009 in 2013, the issue of the stock market response to unemployment is not only relevant

to

TABLE 2 AB THE E

FIGURE 2 AB THE E

D t

Our study includes only those countries in the financial sector, sub-sector in  
industry from November 1989 to February 2014.292 Only those observations in the  
financial sector in the sub-sector on the Global Industry Classification Scheme  
developed by the International Classification & Poor's<sup>5</sup> The sub-  
sector in the industry in the countries are listed in the GICS code book  
obtained from Bloomberg. Also used in the study is the  
Bloomberg data on the 10-year Treasury yield series from 1989  
until 2014. Used in the study are the quarterly inflation rate in section 2.2

The paper uses the A reports of the financial sector, sub-sector in industry classification  
correspond to the GICS code book that the Bloomberg reports. In this paper  
in the 10 sectors, sub-ventures into 33 sub-sectors in the 82 industry  
The first financial industry sectors are the capital markets. April 29, 2010  
Information Technology 1982 in Finance 1958 The reports of the  
not only of the sectors that are the round 10. Consumer Discretionary, Consumer  
Stamps, Energy, Health Care in Industry

Table 3 reports that the returns for the S&P 500. In the financial sector  
in the industry in the returns for all sectors in the risk-repos in  
the first risk-repos in the returns 58 basis points or 7.2% on the annual basis  
Conclusion in the 10-year Treasury bond yield, our portfolio of the un-  
conclusion in the

<sup>5</sup>The classification is to the investment industry sector in the process of the  
process on the world. Also, GICS is the result of numerous discussions that passed on the portfolio



To investigate the impact of the 2008 financial crisis on the returns of the S&P 500, we use a vector autoregressive (VAR) model to analyze the relationship between the returns of the S&P 500 and the returns of the 10-year Treasury note. The VAR model is estimated using quarterly data from 1989 to 2014. The results show that the returns of the S&P 500 are significantly positively correlated with the returns of the 10-year Treasury note, especially during the crisis period.

## Empirical Results

Figure 1 shows the impulse response functions (IRF) for the VAR model. The IRF for the S&P 500 returns shows a positive response to a one standard deviation shock in the 10-year Treasury note returns. The response is largest in the first quarter and then gradually declines over the next three quarters. The IRF for the 10-year Treasury note returns shows a positive response to a one standard deviation shock in the S&P 500 returns. The response is largest in the first quarter and then gradually declines over the next three quarters. The IRF for the 10-year Treasury note returns also shows a positive response to a one standard deviation shock in the S&P 500 returns. The response is largest in the first quarter and then gradually declines over the next three quarters. The IRF for the S&P 500 returns also shows a positive response to a one standard deviation shock in the 10-year Treasury note returns. The response is largest in the first quarter and then gradually declines over the next three quarters. The IRF for the S&P 500 returns also shows a positive response to a one standard deviation shock in the 10-year Treasury note returns. The response is largest in the first quarter and then gradually declines over the next three quarters.

## 4.1 Results for the Sector Level

Figure 2 shows the IRF for the VAR model at the sector level. The IRF for the S&P 500 returns shows a positive response to a one standard deviation shock in the 10-year Treasury note returns. The response is largest in the first quarter and then gradually declines over the next three quarters. The IRF for the 10-year Treasury note returns shows a positive response to a one standard deviation shock in the S&P 500 returns. The response is largest in the first quarter and then gradually declines over the next three quarters. The IRF for the 10-year Treasury note returns also shows a positive response to a one standard deviation shock in the S&P 500 returns. The response is largest in the first quarter and then gradually declines over the next three quarters. The IRF for the S&P 500 returns also shows a positive response to a one standard deviation shock in the 10-year Treasury note returns. The response is largest in the first quarter and then gradually declines over the next three quarters. The IRF for the S&P 500 returns also shows a positive response to a one standard deviation shock in the 10-year Treasury note returns. The response is largest in the first quarter and then gradually declines over the next three quarters.

but coefficients vary but in the first 47 to the last  
Incorporation of the sector 138

### NE T TAB E 4 AB THE E

to not total for the overall project results compare  
not only the relations but in sector stock returns in unproductive firms  
no interest rates in sectors with the highest net coefficient  
Interest in the sectors is not the same. Consumer prices.  
High price in the convertible market but enter the  
in the postwar in Incorporation of the sector 138  
postwar coefficient or Incorporation of the sector 138





In summary, the regression results indicate that in stock returns, the impact of the non-linear interest rate components, the impact of the non-linear interest rate on the real returns is not only negative. The Consumer Services sector is the most strongly affected by the in-stock returns, the impact of the non-linear interest rate is still significant in the real returns, the regression results are not very overall in the contract on the basis of the sub-periods. Even though the in-stock returns are not perfectly correlated with the real returns, but significantly only for the contract on the basis of the observed contract positive real returns or real. The effect of the positive inflation on the real returns in the Consumer Services sector is still significant. The in-stock returns are not correlated with the real returns, the non-linear interest rate is still positive, the real returns are consistently significantly positive overall in the contract on the basis of the sub-periods, only for the impact of the non-linear interest rate. The next step is to see if the correlation or consistency of the regression results is further refined in the sub-sector portfolios.

#### 4.2 Results of the sub-sector level

In the second step of our analysis, we will in 2) the sub-sector level is given in Appendix Table A. Table 5 shows the number of sub-sectors that have a significant response of stock returns to the impact of the non-linear interest rate, the non-linear interest rate on the real returns, the variance of the non-linear interest rate, the coefficient of the variance, the positive and negative coefficients, or the coefficient of the inflation on the real returns, the positive and negative coefficients of the inflation on the real returns, the contract on the basis of the sub-periods respectively.

# INSECT ABUNDANCE

For both plots, the positive relationship between the total

quantities to be specified in column 2 is 42.33 in 27 quantities  
 subsectors or total is 42.33. Contractions in proportions on respective value  
 stock returns to the respective national quantities to uniformity in the  
 quantities

**N**ext, the respective options to the conversion of the respective  
 For instance, panel A, column 2 reports that the respective subsectors that  
 is the respective positive relation but in stock returns in uniformity in the  
 non-linear quantities over all proportions. In addition, the respective contraction positive  
 relations or uniformity in the respective linear quantities contraction positive  
 relations or uniformity in the quantities or the overall proportions

Based on the results by subproportion observations that page 5 p 2953 15.54



concerns 7 The sectors that have the highest number of industries that present  
concerns are Consumer Staples (the highest) and Services (28%)  
Incorporation Technology (the highest) and Services (37%)  
The Industries sector, only one of the 14 industries, namely Building Products, presents  
concern related to unproductive earnings and non-interest rates (the highest)  
4.15 Industries and Energy sector: both have the highest percentage of response to  
unproductive earnings and non-interest

















Ing. J. J. Journal of Financial Research, 2008, stock market reaction to Gooden B  
Inflation, *The Journal of Financial Research*, vol. XXXI, No. 2, pp. 141-166

or, T. 2011. Interest rate sensitivity of European stock returns before  
the Euro introduction. *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions  
and Money*, vol. 21, No. 5, pp. 811-831

Dr. D. Dror, 2005. Unemployment. *Journal of Socio-  
Economics*, vol. 34, pp. 179-198

Ing. J. Jr. Journal of Finance, 1988. An empirical study of interest rate  
sensitivity coefficients and returns. *Journal of Financial  
and Quantitative Analysis*, vol. 15, No. 3, pp. 317-342

sc. Gv. Hurt

Stour, S. (2004) Financial Interest Rates in Interest Rates II. *Financial Markets, Institutions and Instruments*, Vol 15, No 5, pp 225-232

Stout, B. (1974) The Effect of Interest Rates on the Return on Equity. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, Vol 9, No 5, pp 69-71

Stout, B. E. (1998) Interest Rates in Equity Duration. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, Vol 7, No 3, pp 277-298

Stout, B. E. (1984) The Impact of Interest Rates on the Return on Equity. *Journal of Finance*, Vol 41, No 2, pp 393-410

Tass, R. (2003) Stock Return Sensitivity to Interest Rate Changes. *EFMA 2003 Helsinki Meetings*, available at <http://ssrn.com/abstract=392589>

Voronov, V. (1999) Stock Return Variance and the Business Cycle. *The Review of Financial Studies*, Vol 12, No 1, pp 1-20





Bus n<sup>o</sup> 55 C<sup>o</sup> E p ns ons n Contr ct ons

P <sup>er</sup> io	T <sup>o</sup> t <sup>o</sup> l E <sup>o</sup> no r o ont \$
Nov <sup>o</sup> b <sup>er</sup> 1989 - Jun <sup>o</sup> 1990	E p ns on - 8 ont \$
Jul 1990 - Febru r 1991	Contr ct on - 8 ont \$
rc 1991 - rc 2001	E p ns on - 121 ont \$
Apr l 2001 - Nov <sup>o</sup> b <sup>er</sup> 2001	Contr ct on - 8 ont \$
D <sup>ec</sup> b <sup>er</sup> 2001 - D <sup>ec</sup> b <sup>er</sup> 2007	E p ns on - 3 ont \$

Descript variables of sector returns. 10 - 100% return on non-interest bearing deposits

Contents of sector stock returns to variations in non-interest rates (1)  
 in interest rate perfect competition (2)

Table A Totals period Nov 1989 to Feb 2014

Sector	$r_{mt}$	$i_t^u$	Adj. $R^2$	$r_{mt}$	$r_t$	(1)
--------	----------	---------	------------	----------	-------	-----



Annual CE performance

0	$r_{mt}$	Sub sectors	Number	Average
	Benefit	32	9.9	9.5
	Cost	32	9.7	9.5

6 Correlation of in-ustr stock returns to variations in interest rates of 1 in interest rate percentage inflation rate of 2 percentage in-ustr sensitivity

Annual Total profit from Nov 1989 to Feb 2014

Item	In-ustr <u>sensitivity</u>				Average <u>Corr</u>		
	<u>Number</u> In	<u>Number</u> Corr	<u>Number</u> Corr	<u>Number</u> Corr	<u>Number</u> Corr	<u>Number</u> Corr	<u>Number</u> Corr
In-ustr <u>sensitivity</u> <u>1</u> <u>Consumer</u> <u>Discretionary</u>	10	10/10	10	0	1090	0	91.144
In-ustr <u>sensitivity</u> <u>2</u> <u>Consumer</u> <u>Services</u>	9	9/9	9	0	0	49	422.1054
In-ustr <u>sensitivity</u> <u>3</u> <u>Energy</u>	7	/	7	0	10	11	0
In-ustr <u>sensitivity</u> <u>4</u> <u>Financials</u>	11	11/11	11	0	1	351	0
In-ustr <u>sensitivity</u> <u>5</u> <u>Healthcare</u>	5	5/5	5	0	0	31	0
					7	0	49.0
					7	0	7

Final A2 of 2015 performance Nov 1989 to Feb 2014

Route	Instruments in service				Average Cost			
	Number In	Number Cost	Hours Cost	Number Cost	Number Cost	Hours Cost	Number Cost	
Instruments of 1 Consumer Deterioration	1	1/1	1	0	1089	5.1452	1089	n
Instruments of 2 Consumer Parts	9	9/9	9	0	649	42.105	649	n
Instruments of 3 Engines	7	/	7	0	1008	132	1008	n
Instruments of 4 Finances	11	11/11	11	0	1351	834.202	1351	n
Instruments of 5 Helicopters	5	5/5	5	0	31	4.09	31	n
Instruments of 6 Instruments	12	12/12	12	0	102	54.132	102	n
Instruments of 7 Instructors	9	9/9	9	0	145	5.182	145	n
Instruments of 8 Instruments	12	12/12	12	0	109	342.103	109	n
Instruments of 9 Transmissions	1	1/1	1	0	92	92.092	92	n
Instruments of 10 Instruments	0	n	n	n	7	7	7	n
Total number of instruments	82	82	82	0				

Annex B1 - 2011 Contract on price

Contract	Number of In	Instructions to be performed			Average Cost			
		Number of Contracts	Cost of Contracts	Number of Contracts	Number of Contracts	Cost of Contracts	Number of Contracts	
Instr. 1.1 - Consumption	1	1/1	1	0	1300	448.211	1300	n
Instr. 2.2 - Consumption plus	9	9/9	9	0	084	451.138	084	n
Instr. 3.3 - Error	7	/	7	0	909	5.129	909	n



Unit B2 - Unit 2 Contract on paper

Unit	Unit	Instructions to present			Average Cost		
Unit	Unit	Unit	Unit	Unit	Unit	Unit	Unit

In units of 1000 units of production

Final Class of 1 E p ns on p r o

o		In ustr s t s n r c			Av r Co r r		
<i>r mt</i>	N r In	s n r Co r r	os t Co r r	N t Co r r	s n r Co r r r n	os t Co r r	N t Co r r
In ustr s q s l Consu D scr at on r							

Unit 2: Ecosystems

<p>o</p>		<p>Instr</p>	<p>Assess</p>
<p>mt</p>	<p>In</p>	<p>Co</p>	<p>Co</p>



Financials, Health Care, Infrastructure, Information Technology

Sector/Sub Sector	Infrastructure
Financials	
§§1 Banks	I1 Commercial Banks I2 Trusts & Port Financials
§§2 Diversified Financials	I3 Diversified Financial Services I4 Consumer Financials I5 Capital Markets
§§3 Insurance	I6 Insurance Brokers I7 Life & Health Insurance I8 Multi-line Insurance I9 Property & Casualty Insurance
§§4 Real Estate	I10 Real Estate Investment Trusts REITs I11 Real Estate Finance & Development
Health Care	
§§1 Health Care Equipment & Services	I1 Health Care Equipment & Supplies I2 Health Care Providers & Services
§§2 Pharmaceutical & Biotech	I3 Biotech I4 Pharmaceutical

\_\_\_\_\_

|



F r Evolution of t h nnu l ro t p t q GD j r t r s son l ust ant

|